

CODE OF ETHICS

Obra digital as an international journal of excellence is inspired by the ethical code of publications prepared by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and aimed to authors, editors and referees.

Authors

- Originality and plagiarism: The authors of the manuscripts sent to Obra Digital guarantee that the submitted work is original and that the manuscripts themselves neither contain extracts from other authors, nor contain other fragments from written works that were previously published (by the same authors).
- Publications multiple or repetitive: the author should not publish articles that repeat the same search results in more than a scientific journal.
- Authorship: The authors guarantee that there is the inclusion of those individuals who have made a scientifically significant and intellectual contribution to the conceptualization and planning of the work, and have also made a contribution to the interpretation of the results and the actual writing of the article.
- List of sources: the author should always provide the correct indication of the sources and contributions mentioned in the article.
- Conflict of interest and disclosure: all authors are required to declare explicitly that there are no conflicts of interest that may have influenced the results obtained or the interpretations proposed. Authors must indicate any research funding agencies or the project from which arise the article.
- Errors in published articles: when an author in her/his article identifies a significant error or inaccuracy, it shall promptly inform the editors and provide them with all the information required to list the relevant corrections on the bottom of the article itself.
- Responsibility: all the authors accept responsibility for what they have written. The authors pledge that they have revised the most up-to-date and relevant materials about the subject matter, thereby taking into account the dual nature of different currents of thought.

Referees

- Contribution to the editorial decision: peer review is a procedure that helps editors to make decisions on the proposed articles and also allows the author to improve the contribution submitted for publication. The reviewers are committed to performing a critical, honest, constructive, and unbiased review of both the scientific and the literary quality of the written work, i.e. based on their individual skills and knowledge.

- **Time:** the referee who does not feel adequate to the task proposed or who are not able to finish the evaluation of the proposed contribution in the scheduled time is required to promptly notify the coordinators. The reviewers are committed to evaluating the works in the minimum possible time so as to respect the stated deadlines, i.e. given that Obra Digital's policy for holding pending documents is limited and restricted for the purpose of respecting authors and their works.
- **Confidentiality:** each assigned reading should be considered as confidential. Therefore, these texts should not be discussed with other people without the explicit permission of the editors.
- **Objectivity:** the peer-review must be conducted in an objective manner. Any personal judgment about the authors of contributions is considered inappropriate. The referees are required to give adequate reasons for their judgments. The reviewers will submit a complete and critical report with adequate references according to Obra Digital's review protocol and the established public norms for reviewers, especially if it should be recommended that the work be rejected.
- **Text display:** the referees undertake to accurately indicate the bibliographical references of fundamental works possibly neglected by the author. The referee must also report to the editors any similarities or overlaps between the text received and other works known to her/him.
- **Conflict of interest:** confidential information or information obtained during the process of peer-review must be considered confidential and may not be used for personal purposes.

Editors

- **Time:** The editors are responsible for compliance with the time limits for reviews and publication of accepted papers, to ensure rapid dissemination of its results. They reliably undertake to comply with the published deadlines and maximum of 120 days from the beginning of the process of scientific review by experts.
- **Publication:** The editors ensure the selection of the most qualified reviewers and scientifically specialists to issue an expert and critical appreciation of the manuscript, with the least possible level of bias.
- **Confidentiality:** the editors and members of the working group undertake not to disclose information relating to the articles submitted for publication to other persons other than the author, the referees and the editor. Editors and the Editorial Committee are committed to maintaining the confidentiality of the manuscripts, their authors and their reviewers, in such a way that anonymity preserves the intellectual integrity of the whole process.

- Conflict of interest: the editors undertake not to use in their research content of articles submitted for publication without the written consent of the author.
- Honesty: the editors evaluate the articles submitted for publication only on the basis of the scientific merit of the content, without discrimination of race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, ethnicity, nationality, political opinion of the authors.